

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/689,478	10	0/20/2003	Daniel S. Papenfuss	20717	20717 7892	
30482	7590	03/22/2006		EXAMINER		
BEMIS CO	,		PATTERSON, MARC A			
2200 BADGER AVENUE OSHKOSH, WI 54904				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1772		
				DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/689,478	PAPENFUSS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Marc A. Patterson	1772				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE THE MOR	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	((s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/689,478

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

WITHDRAWN OBJECTIONS

1. The objections to Claim 21, of record on page 2 of the previous Action, are withdrawn.

REPEATED REJECTIONS

- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of Claims 1-4, 6-13 and 17-23 as being anticipated by Gehrke et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,874,155), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claim 5 as being unpatentable over Gehrke et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,874,155), of record on page 3 of the previous Action, is repeated.
- 4. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 14 16 and 24 67 as being unpatentable over Gehrke et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,874,155) in view of Gehrke (U.S. Patent No. 5,783,266), of record on page 4 of the previous Action, is repeated.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of Claims 1 – 4, 6 – 13 and 17 – 23 as being anticipated by Gehrke et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,874,155), 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claim 5 as being unpatentable over Gehrke et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,874,155), and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 14 – 16 and 24 – 67 as being unpatentable over Gehrke et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,874,155) in view of Gehrke (U.S. Patent No. 5,783,266), of record in the

Art Unit: 1772

previous Action, have been carefully considered but have not been found to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant argues, on page 12 of the remarks dated January 3, 2006, that Gehrke et al's use of the term 'roughening' does not equate to 'scoring.'

However, as stated on page 2 of the previous Action, Gehrke et al disclose the roughening of the surface by the formation of cuts on the surface, therefore by scoring the surface to form the cuts.

Applicant also argues, on page 13, that Gehrke et al do not disclose the distinct elements of surface roughening and a score line.

However, as stated on page 2 of the previous Action, Gehrke et al disclose two film layers comprising roughened areas which are formed by the formation of cuts on the surface, therefore by scoring the surface to form the cuts, Gehrke et al therefore disclose the distinct elements of surface roughening and a score line.

Applicant also argues, on page 14, that Gehrke et al disclose laser scoring in column 4, lines 53 – 57, but do not disclose a combination of scoring and roughening.

However, Gehrke et al do not disclose laser scoring in column 4, lines 53 - 57; furthermore, as stated above, Gehrke et al disclose the roughening of the surface by the formation of cuts on the surface, therefore by scoring the surface to form the cuts.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,478

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Muc Petters 7/2/66 Marc A. Patterson, PhD. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772 Page 5